organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Xiao-Niu Fang,* Ya-Ping Xu, Xue-Feng Guo and Xi-Rui Zeng

College of Chemistry & Chemical Engineering, JiangXi Province Key Laboratory of Coordination Chemistry, JingGangShan University, 343009 Ji'an, JiangXi, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: fangxiaoniu@jgsu.edu.cn

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 296 KMean σ (C–C) = 0.004 Å R factor = 0.051 wR factor = 0.162 Data-to-parameter ratio = 15.8

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

© 2006 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved

1,5-Bis[(1*E*)-(2-methoxyphenyl)methylene]thiocarbonohydrazide ethanol solvate

The title compound, $C_{17}H_{18}N_4O_2S\cdot C_2H_5OH$, has been synthesized by the reaction of thiocarbohydrazide with 2-methoxybenzaldehyde in ethanol. The two aromatic rings are not coplanar, making an angle of 34.8 (2)°. Strong intermolecular (N-H···O and O-H···N) and weak intermolecular hydrogen bonds (N-H···S and C-H···O) are found in the crystal structure. These hydrogen bonds link the molecules of the Schiff base and ethanol molecules into an infinite chain. Aromatic stacking interactions are also found in the crystal structure.

Comment

1,5-Bis-Schiff bases of thiocarbohydrazide (TCH) and their metal complexes are of wide interest and have been investigated over recent years. Some of them have biological activities (Liang, 2003; Bacchi *et al.*, 2005), and can be used for the analysis of metals in biological samples to determine trace heavy metals (Vereda-Alonso *et al.*, 1996; Sánchez-Rojas *et al.*, 2004). A few crystal structures of this type of Schiff base have been previously reported (Huang & Yao, 1996; Zhang *et al.*, 2003). We report here the synthesis and the X-ray crystal structure of the title compound, (I).



The title compound, (I) (Fig. 1), crystallizes in the space group $P\overline{1}$ with one molecule of ethanol solvent also in the asymmetric unit. Some important bond lengths and angles are given in Table 1. These are comparable to those reported for similar systems (Zhang *et al.*, 2003). The four N atoms and atoms S1 and C9 are nearly coplanar, with a mean deviation of 0.020 (2) Å; this plane makes a dihedral angle of 5.4 (1)° with the C11–C16 benzene ring. The existence of the solvent ethanol molecule forces the C2–C7 benzene ring to twist away from this plane by an angle of 34.8 (2)°. It also forces the N1–N2 bond to change its orientation, forming an s-*cis* S1–C9–N1–N2 configuration rather than the *s*-*trans* S1–C9–N3–N4 configuration.

The hydrogen-bonding parameters are given in Table 2 and Fig. 2. Both strong intermolecular $(N-H\cdots O \text{ and } O-H\cdots N)$ and weak intermolecular hydrogen bonds $(N-H\cdots S \text{ and } C-H\cdots O)$ exist in the crystal structure (as shown in Fig. 3). These hydrogen bonds and aromatic stacking interactions link the molecules of the Schiff base and ethanol molecules into an infinite chain.

Received 20 January 2006 Accepted 10 February 2006



Figure 1

The asymmetric unit of (I), showing 30% probability displacement ellipsoids.



Figure 2

The hydrogen bonds (dashed lines) in the packing of (I). H atoms not involved in hydrogen bonds have been omitted for clarity.

Experimental

2-Methoxybenzaldehyde (0.545 g, 4 mmol) and thiocarbohydrazide oxide (0.212 g, 2 mmol) were dissolved in ethanol (30 ml) and heated for 5 h under reflux. The solvent was removed using a rotatory evaporator and the residue was recrystallized from ethanol (0.577 g, yield: 81.3%; m.p. 447.5 K). A yellow product was obtained. Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis precipitated after several days from the ethanol solution. Analysis calculated for C17H18N4O2S: C 59.63, H 5.30, N 16.36, S 9.36%; found: C 59.56, H 5.51, N 16.79, S 9.35%. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3440 v(NH), 1600 v(C=N), 1533 v(CS-NH), 1254 v(C=S).

Crystal data

$C_{17}H_{18}N_4O_2S \cdot C_2H_6O$	Z = 2
$M_r = 388.48$	$D_x = 1.282 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
a = 7.8197 (8) Å	Cell parameters from 1377
b = 10.387 (1) Å	reflections
c = 12.940 (1) Å	$\theta = 2.6-21.9^{\circ}$
$\alpha = 87.547 \ (2)^{\circ}$	$\mu = 0.19 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 74.645 \ (2)^{\circ}$	T = 296 (2) K
$\gamma = 83.177 \ (2)^{\circ}$	Block, yellow
V = 1006.3 (2) Å ³	$0.47 \times 0.22 \times 0.11 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Bruker APEX-II area-detector	3909 independent reflections
diffractometer	2509 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
φ and ω scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.028$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$\theta_{\rm max} = 26.0^{\circ}$
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)	$h = -9 \rightarrow 9$
$T_{\rm min} = 0.908, \ T_{\rm max} = 0.979$	$k = -12 \rightarrow 12$
7920 measured reflections	$l = -15 \rightarrow 15$





A packing diagram of (I), viewed along the c axis. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines. H atoms not involved in hydrogen bonds have been omitted for clarity.

Refinement

Refinement on F^2
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.051$
$wR(F^2) = 0.162$
S = 1.04
3909 reflections
248 parameters
H-atom parameters constrained

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0373P)^2]$ + 0.2726P] where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.28 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.26 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

O1-C16	1.349 (3)	N1-C8	1.277 (3)
O1-C17	1.428 (3)	N1-N2	1.372 (3)
O2-C2	1.350 (4)	N4-C10	1.262 (3)
O2-C1	1.426 (3)	N4-N3	1.385 (2)
O3-C18	1.376 (4)	C9-N3	1.339 (3)
S1-C9	1.670 (3)	C9-N2	1.354 (3)
NH 00 07	121.2 (2)		115.0 (2)
N1 - C8 - C/	121.2 (2)	C8 - N1 - N2	115.8 (2)
N2-C9-S1	120.3 (2)	C9-N2-N1	120.9 (2)
N3-C9-N2	114.3 (2)	C9-N3-N4	120.2 (2)
N3-C9-S1	125.4 (2)	C10-N4-N3	114.3 (2)
N4-C10-C11	122.1 (2)	C16-O1-C17	118.5 (2)
C2-O2-C1	117.2 (3)		

Table 2		
Hydrogen-bond	geometry	(Å,

D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
0.86	2.59	3.435 (2)	168
0.82	2.35	3.013 (3)	139
0.86	2.47	3.220 (3)	146
0.93	2.58	3.319 (3)	137
	<i>D</i> -H 0.86 0.82 0.86 0.93	$\begin{array}{c ccc} D-H & H\cdots A \\ \hline 0.86 & 2.59 \\ 0.82 & 2.35 \\ 0.86 & 2.47 \\ 0.93 & 2.58 \end{array}$	$D-H$ $H\cdots A$ $D\cdots A$ 0.862.593.435 (2)0.822.353.013 (3)0.862.473.220 (3)0.932.583.319 (3)

°).

Symmetry codes: (i) -x, -y + 1, -z + 2; (ii) x, y + 1, z.

H atoms were positioned geometrically and treated as riding on their parent atoms, with C-H distances of 0.97 (methylene) and 0.96 Å (methyl), and with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(C)$ for methyl H atoms and $1.2U_{eq}(C)$ for other H atoms. The ethanol solvent molecule may have unresolved disorder and one restraint (DFIX 1.54 0.005) was applied for the distance C18-C19.

Data collection: APEX2 (Bruker, 2004); cell refinement: APEX2; data reduction: APEX2; program(s) used to solve structure: APEX2; program(s) used to refine structure: APEX2; molecular graphics: APEX2; software used to prepare material for publication: APEX2.

We gratefully acknowledge financial support from the Natural Science Foundation of JiangXi Province (No. 0320026) and JiangXi Provincial Education Office (No. 2001387).

References

- Bacchi, A., Carcelli, M., Pelagatti, P., Pelizzi, G., Rodriguez-Arguelles, M. C., Rogolino, D, Solinas, C. & Zani, F. (2005). J. Inorg. Biochem. 99, 397–408.
- Bruker (2004). APEX2. Version 1.22. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Huang, T.-S. & Yao, Z.-Y. (1996). Chin. J. Struct. Chem. 15, 235-238.
- Liang, F.-Z.(2003). J. Shandong Normal Univ. (Nat. Sci.), 18, 50–51.
- Sánchez-Rojas, F., Bosch-Ojeda, C. & Cano-Pavón, J. M. (2004). *Talanta*, 64, 230–236.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany. Vereda-Alonso, E., Garcia-de-Torres, A.& Cano-Pavon, J. M. (1996). Talanta, 43, 493–501.
- Zhang, A.-J., Zhang, L.-X., Hou, Y.-L., Xiong, Y., Li, X.-J. & Yu, K.-B. (2003). *Chin. J. Org. Chem.* 23, 281–285.